

Integrable Fredholm Operators and Dual Isomonodromic Deformations

J. HARNAD

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University
7141 Sherbrooke W., Montréal, Québec, Canada H4B 1R6, and
Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal
C. P. 6128, succ. centre ville, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3C 3J7
e-mail: harnad@crm.umontreal.ca*

ALEXANDER R. ITS

*Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Indiana University–Purdue University at Indianapolis
Indianapolis, IN 46202–3216
e-mail: itsa@math.iupui.edu*

Abstract

The Fredholm determinants of a special class of integral operators K supported on the union of m curve segments in the complex λ -plane are shown to be the τ -functions of an isomonodromic family of meromorphic covariant derivative operators $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$. These have regular singular points at the $2m$ endpoints of the curve segments and a singular point of Poincaré index 1 at infinity. The rank r of the vector bundle over the Riemann sphere on which they act equals the number of distinct terms in the exponential sums entering in the numerator of the integral kernels. The deformation equations may be viewed as nonautonomous Hamiltonian systems on an auxiliary symplectic vector space $\mathcal{M}$, whose Poisson quotient, under a parametric family of Hamiltonian group actions, is identified with a Poisson submanifold of the loop algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_R(r)$ with respect to the rational R -matrix structure. The matrix Riemann–Hilbert problem method is used to identify the auxiliary space $\mathcal{M}$ with the data defining the integral kernel of the resolvent operator at the endpoints of the curve segments. A second associated isomonodromic family of covariant derivative operators $\mathcal{D}_z$ is derived, having rank $n=2m$, and r finite regular singular points at the values of the exponents defining the kernel of K . This family is similarly embedded into the algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_R(n)$ through a *dual* parametric family of Poisson quotients of $\mathcal{M}$. The operators $\mathcal{D}_z$ are shown to be analogously associated to the integral operator $\widetilde{K}$ obtained from K through a Fourier–Laplace transform.

1. Introduction

1a. Dual Isomonodromic Deformation Equations.

The differential equations determining isomonodromic deformations of rational covariant derivative operators of the form

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} - \mathcal{N}(\lambda), \quad (1.1a)$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\lambda) := B + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{N_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j}, \quad (1.1b)$$

$$B = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r), \quad N_j \in \mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbf{C})$$

were derived in [JMMS, JMU]. The residue matrices $N_j(\alpha_i, \beta_a)$ may depend parametrically on the pole locations $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ and the asymptotic eigenvalues $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$. This dependence must be such as to satisfy the commutativity conditions

$$[\mathcal{D}_\lambda, \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_j}] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{D}_\lambda, \mathcal{D}_{\beta_a}] = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad a = 1, \dots, r, \quad (1.2)$$

where the differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_j}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\beta_a}$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_j} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_j} + \frac{N_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j}. \quad (1.3a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\beta_a} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_a} - \lambda E_a - \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^r \frac{E_a \left(\sum_{j=1}^n N_j \right) E_b + E_b \left(\sum_{j=1}^n N_j \right) E_a}{\beta_a - \beta_b}, \quad (1.3b)$$

and E_a is the elementary $r \times r$ matrix with elements

$$(E_a)_{bc} := \delta_{ab} \delta_{ac}. \quad (1.4)$$

In the Hamiltonian formulation [H] these relations, viewed as differential equations for the residue matrices N_i , are interpreted as a compatible system of nonautonomous Hamiltonian equations with respect to the Lie Poisson structure on the space $(\mathfrak{gl}(r))^{\ast n} = \{N_1, \dots, N_n\}$, embedded through formula (1.1b) into the loop algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_R(r)$ with rational R -matrix structure. They are generated by the Poisson commuting family of Hamiltonians $\{H_j, K_a\}_{j=1 \dots n, a=1 \dots r}$ defined by

$$H_j := \text{tr}(BN_j) + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \frac{\text{tr}(N_i N_j)}{\alpha_j - \alpha_i} \quad (1.5a)$$

$$K_a := \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (N_j)_{aa} + \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^r \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n N_j \right)_{ab} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n N_k \right)_{ba}}{\beta_a - \beta_b}. \quad (1.5b)$$

The Poisson commutativity of the Hamiltonians follows from the R -matrix structure on $\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_R(r)$ and implies that the differential 1-form on the parameter space $\{\alpha, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$ defined by

$$\omega := \sum_{k=1}^n H_k d\alpha_k + \sum_{a=1}^r K_a d\beta_a \quad (1.6)$$

is closed

$$d\omega = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

This, in turn, implies the existence of a tau-function $\tau(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$ in the sense of [JMU], determined, up to multiplication by a local constant, by

$$\omega = d(\ln \tau). \quad (1.8)$$

As shown in [H] it is natural to view the phase space as a Poisson quotient of a symplectic space $\mathcal{M} = \{F, G\}$ consisting of canonically conjugate pairs (F, G) of rectangular $N \times r$ matrices, where

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i, \quad k_i := \text{rank } N_i. \quad (1.9)$$

The quotient map is defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{N}(\lambda) = B + F^T(A - \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1}G, \quad (1.10)$$

where $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbf{C})$ is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1 \dots n}$ and multiplicities $\{k_i\}$. The Hamiltonians may be pulled back to $\mathcal{M}$ through the projection map defined by (1.10), and hence determine corresponding nonautonomous Hamiltonian systems

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_i} = \{F, H_i\}, \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial \alpha_i} = \{G, H_i\}, \quad i = 1 \dots n, \quad (1.11a)$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \beta_a} = \{F, K_a\}, \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial \beta_a} = \{G, K_a\} \quad a = 1, \dots, r, \quad (1.11b)$$

whose integral curves project to solutions of the isomonodromic deformation equations (1.2).

This leads quite naturally to a “dual” isomonodromic deformation system for the family of operators

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_z := \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \mathcal{M}(z), \quad (1.12a)$$

$$\mathcal{M}(z) := A + F(B - z\mathbf{I})^{-1}G^T = A + \sum_{a=1}^r \frac{M_a}{z - \beta_a}, \quad (1.12b)$$

$$M_a \in \mathfrak{gl}(N, \mathbf{C}).$$

The corresponding deformation equations are

$$\left[\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_z, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\alpha_j} \right] = 0, \quad \left[\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_z, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta_a} \right], \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad a = 1, \dots, r, \quad (1.13)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta_a} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_a} + \frac{M_a}{z - \beta_a}, \quad (1.14a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\alpha_i} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} - z E_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{E_i (\sum_{a=1}^r M_a) E_j + E_j (\sum_{a=1}^r M_a) E_i}{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}. \quad (1.14b)$$

These have the same interpretation, as nonautonomous Hamiltonian equations on the phase space $(\mathfrak{gl}(n))^{*r} = \{M_1, \dots, M_r\}$, generated by the Poisson commuting family of Hamiltonians

$$\tilde{K}_a := \text{tr}(A M_a) + \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^r \frac{\text{tr}(M_a M_b)}{\beta_a - \beta_b}, \quad (1.14a)$$

$$\tilde{H}_j := \sum_{a=1}^r \beta_a (M_a)_{jj} + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{(\sum_{a=1}^r M_a)_{jk} (\sum_{b=1}^r M_b)_{kj}}{\alpha_j - \alpha_k}. \quad (1.14b)$$

Pulled back to the space $\mathcal{M}$, these are shown in [H] to coincide with the Hamiltonians (1.5a), (1.5b), and hence the corresponding “dual” τ -function $\tilde{\tau}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$, determined by

$$d(\ln \tilde{\tau}) := \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{H}_k d\alpha_k + \sum_{a=1}^r \tilde{K}_a d\beta_a, \quad (1.16)$$

coincides with $\tau(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$.

In the present work, using the approach of [IIKS], it will be shown how a particular class of solutions to such isomonodromic deformation equations arises through the solution of an associated matrix Riemann–Hilbert problem (Theorems 2.1, 2.3), and how the corresponding τ -functions may be identified with the Fredholm determinants of a simple class of “integrable” Fredholm integral operators (Theorem 2.5). We also show (Theorem 2.6) that the τ -function obtained in this way may equivalently be interpreted as a τ -function in the sense of Sato [Sa] and Segal and Wilson [SW]; that is, as the determinant of a suitably defined projection operator applied to the image of an element W of an infinite dimensional Grassmann manifold under the action of an abelian subgroup $\Gamma^r \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{Gl}}(r)$ of the loop group $\widetilde{\mathfrak{Gl}}(r)$. The “dual” system is then shown (Theorem 3.1), under suitable restrictions on the input data, to correspond to the integral operator obtained through a Fourier–Laplace transform. In this formulation, the element W of the Grassmannian is determined by the pole parameters $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ of the first family of operators (1.1b), while the group element $\gamma \in \Gamma^r$ is parameterized by the asymptotic eigenvalues $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$. In the dual system, the rôles of the element W and the group element γ of are interchanged.

1b. Integrable Fredholm Kernels and the Riemann–Hilbert Problem.

Consider a $p \times p$ matrix Fredholm integral operator acting on $\mathbf{C}^p$ -valued functions $\mathbf{v}(\lambda)$,

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{v})(\lambda) = \int_{\Gamma} K(\lambda, \mu) \mathbf{v}(\mu) d\mu, \quad (1.17)$$

defined along a piecewise smooth, oriented curve Γ in the complex plane (possibly extending to ∞), with integral kernel of the special form

$$K(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{\mathbf{f}^T(\lambda) \mathbf{g}(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu}, \quad (1.18)$$

where $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}$ are rectangular $r \times p$ matrix valued functions, $p \leq r$. For the moment, we assume only that $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ are smooth functions along the connected components of Γ and, in order that $\mathbf{K}$ be nonsingular, we also require that the numerator vanish at least linearly in $\lambda - \mu$ in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \mu$,

$$\mathbf{f}^T(\lambda) \mathbf{g}(\lambda) = 0, \quad (1.19)$$

so that the diagonal values are given by taking the limit

$$K(\lambda, \lambda) = \mathbf{f}'^T(\lambda) \mathbf{g}(\lambda) = -\mathbf{f}^T(\lambda) \mathbf{g}'(\lambda). \quad (1.20)$$

Fredholm determinants of operators of this type appear as generating functions for correlators in many integrable quantum field theory models [WMTB, JMMS, IIKS, IIKV, KBI] and as spectral distributions for random matrix ensembles [M, TW1–2, HTW], the most common case being $p = 1$, $r = 2$, with $\mathbf{K}$ a scalar integral operator. A first important observation to note [IIKS] is that the resolvent operator

$$\mathbf{R} := (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K})^{-1} \mathbf{K} \quad (1.21)$$

is also in the same class. Thus, $\mathbf{R}$ may also be expressed as

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{v})(\lambda) = \int_{\Gamma} R(\lambda, \mu) \mathbf{v}(\mu) d\mu \quad (1.22)$$

where the resolvent kernel

$$R(\lambda, \mu) := \frac{\mathbf{F}^T(\lambda) \mathbf{G}(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu}. \quad (1.23)$$

is determined by

$$\mathbf{F}^T = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K})^{-1} \mathbf{f}^T = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{R}) \mathbf{f}^T \quad (1.24a)$$

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K})^{-1} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{R}), \quad (1.24b)$$

where $(1 - \mathbf{K})^{-1}$ in (1.24a) is understood as acting to the right, while in (1.24b) it acts to the left. These quantities similarly satisfy the nonsingularity condition

$$\mathbf{F}^T(\lambda)\mathbf{G}(\lambda) = 0, \quad (1.26)$$

with the diagonal value of the resolvent kernel given by

$$R(\lambda, \lambda) = \mathbf{F}^{T'}(\lambda)\mathbf{G}(\lambda) = -\mathbf{F}^T(\lambda)\mathbf{G}'(\lambda). \quad (1.27)$$

The basic deformation formula relating the Fredholm determinant to the resolvent operator is

$$d \ln \det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}) = -\text{tr}((1 - \mathbf{K})^{-1}d\mathbf{K}) = -\text{tr}((1 + \mathbf{R})d\mathbf{K}), \quad (1.28)$$

where d signifies the differential with respect to any auxiliary parameters on which $\mathbf{K}$ may depend.

The determination of $\mathbf{R}$ is equivalent to the solution of an associated matrix Riemann–Hilbert problem (cf. [IIS]). Define the $r \times r$ invertible matrix $H(\lambda)$ as the following rank- p perturbation of the identity matrix $\mathbf{I}_r$

$$H(\lambda) = \mathbf{I}_r + 2\pi i \mathbf{f}(\lambda)\mathbf{g}^T(\lambda) = \exp 2\pi i \mathbf{f}(\lambda)\mathbf{g}^T(\lambda) \quad (1.29)$$

(where the exponential form holds here because the nonsingularity condition (1.19) implies that $\mathbf{f}(\lambda)\mathbf{g}^T(\lambda)$ is nilpotent.) The relevant matrix Riemann–Hilbert problem consists of finding a nonsingular $r \times r$ matrix valued function $\chi(\lambda)$ that is analytic on the complement of Γ , extends to $\lambda = \infty$ off Γ , with asymptotic form

$$\chi(\lambda) \sim \mathbf{I}_r + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}) \quad (1.30)$$

for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and has cut discontinuities across Γ given by

$$\chi_-(\lambda) = \chi_+(\lambda)H(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \Gamma, \quad (1.31)$$

where $\chi_+(\lambda)$ and $\chi_-(\lambda)$ are the limiting values of $\chi(\lambda)$ as Γ is approached from the left and the right, respectively. From the Cauchy integral representation we then have

$$\chi(\lambda) = \mathbf{I}_r - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\chi(\mu)\mathbf{f}(\mu)\mathbf{g}^T(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda} d\mu, \quad (1.32a)$$

$$\chi^{-1}(\lambda) = \mathbf{I}_r + \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\mathbf{f}(\mu)\mathbf{g}^T(\mu)\chi^{-1}(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda} d\mu, \quad (1.32b)$$

where the choice of branch $\chi_{\pm}(\mu)$ in the integrand is immaterial, in view of (1.19). It follows that the $p \times r$ matrix-valued functions $\mathbf{F}(\lambda)$, $\mathbf{G}(\lambda)$ defining the resolvent kernel are obtained by applying the matrices $\chi(\lambda)$, $(\chi^T)^{-1}(\lambda)$ to $\mathbf{f}(\lambda)$ and $\mathbf{g}(\lambda)$, respectively

$$\mathbf{F}(\lambda) = \chi(\lambda)\mathbf{f}(\lambda), \quad (1.33a)$$

$$\mathbf{G}(\lambda) = (\chi^T)^{-1}(\lambda)\mathbf{g}(\lambda). \quad (1.33b)$$

The matrices $\chi(\lambda)$, $\chi^{-1}(\lambda)$ are thus determined on the complement of Γ by the integral formulae

$$\chi(\lambda) = \mathbf{I}_r + \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\mathbf{F}(\mu)\mathbf{g}^T(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} d\mu, \quad (1.34a)$$

$$\chi^{-1}(\lambda) = \mathbf{I}_r - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\mathbf{f}(\mu)\mathbf{G}^T(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} d\mu, \quad (1.34b)$$

with appropriate limiting values as $\lambda \rightarrow \Gamma$. It follows that if we express the asymptotic form of $\chi(\lambda)$ and $\chi^{-1}(\lambda)$ for large λ as

$$\chi(\lambda) \sim \mathbf{I}_r + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_j}{\lambda^j}, \quad (1.35a)$$

$$\chi^{-1}(\lambda) \sim \mathbf{I}_r + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\chi}_j}{\lambda^j}, \quad (1.35b)$$

the asymptotic coefficients χ_j , $\hat{\chi}_j$ are given by

$$\chi_j = \int_{\Gamma} \lambda^{j-1} \mathbf{F}(\lambda) \mathbf{g}^T(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad (1.36a)$$

$$\hat{\chi}_j = - \int_{\Gamma} \lambda^{j-1} \mathbf{f}(\lambda) \mathbf{G}^T(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (1.36b)$$

These must of course also satisfy the algebraic recursion relations

$$\hat{\chi}_j = -\chi_j - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \chi_{j-k} \hat{\chi}_k, \quad j > 1, \quad \hat{\chi}_1 = -\chi_1. \quad (1.37)$$

In the next section, we specialize to certain particular choices of the functions $\mathbf{f}(\lambda)$, $\mathbf{g}(\lambda)$ determining the Fredholm kernel, and support curves Γ , for which the computation of the Fredholm determinant can be reduced to the solution of an associated isomonodromic deformation problem. The resulting systems, together with the generalization discussed in Section 4, extend those obtained in [IIS], [P] via the Riemann-Hilbert method and in [JMMS], [HTW], [TW1–TW3] by other related methods.

2. Special Integrable Kernels and Isomonodromic Deformations

In the following, we choose $n = 2m$ to be even, and let $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1\dots n}$ be a set of distinct points along Γ , ordered according to its orientation, and let $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j=1,\dots,m}$ be the ordered sequence of connected segments of Γ such that Γ_j has endpoints $(\alpha_{2j-1}, \alpha_{2j})$. Let

$$B = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \quad (2.1)$$

be a diagonal $r \times r$ matrix with distinct eigenvalues $\{\beta_a\}_{a=1\dots r}$ and $\{\mathbf{f}_j, \mathbf{g}_j\}_{j=1\dots m}$ a set of fixed $r \times p$ rectangular matrices satisfying

$$\mathbf{g}_j^T \mathbf{f}_k = 0, \quad \forall j, k. \quad (2.2)$$

Define

$$\mathbf{f}_0(\lambda) := \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{f}_j \theta_j(\lambda), \quad (2.3a)$$

$$\mathbf{g}_0(\lambda) := \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{g}_j \theta_j(\lambda), \quad (2.3b)$$

where $\{\theta_j(\lambda)\}_{j=1\dots m}$ denote the characteristic functions of the curve segments $\{\Gamma_j\}$, and

$$\mathbf{f}(\lambda) := \Psi_0(\lambda) \mathbf{f}_0(\lambda), \quad (2.4a)$$

$$\mathbf{g}(\lambda) := (\Psi_0^T(\lambda))^{-1} \mathbf{g}_0(\lambda), \quad (2.4b)$$

where

$$\Psi_0(\lambda) := e^{\lambda B} \quad (2.5)$$

is viewed as a “vacuum” wave function.

Let $\chi_{\pm}(\lambda)$ be the solution to the matrix Riemann–Hilbert problem (1.30), (1.31) corresponding to the choice (2.4a), (2.4b) for the functions $\mathbf{f}(\lambda), \mathbf{g}(\lambda)$. Define the invertible $r \times r$ matrix valued function

$$\Psi(\lambda) := \chi(\lambda) \Psi_0(\lambda), \quad (2.6)$$

with limiting values $\Psi_{\pm}$ on either side of the segments of Γ

$$\Psi_{\pm}(\lambda) := \chi_{\pm}(\lambda) \Psi_0(\lambda). \quad (2.7)$$

Then $\Psi_{\pm}$ satisfy the discontinuity conditions

$$\Psi_{-}(\lambda) = \Psi_{+}(\lambda) H_0(\lambda) \quad (2.8)$$

across these segments, where

$$H_0(\lambda) := \mathbf{I}_r + 2\pi i h_0(\lambda) = \exp 2\pi i h_0(\lambda), \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$h_0(\lambda) := \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{f}_j \mathbf{g}_j^T \theta_j(\lambda) \quad (2.10)$$

has rank $\leq p$, is piecewise constant along the segments Γ_j and, because of (2.2), satisfies

$$h_0(\lambda)h_0(\mu) = 0, \quad \forall \lambda, \mu. \quad (2.11)$$

In terms of these quantities, $H(\lambda)$ is given by

$$H(\lambda) = \Psi_0(\lambda)H_0(\lambda)\Psi_0^{-1}(\lambda). \quad (2.12)$$

From (1.33a), (1.33b) and (2.6), it follows that the matrix-valued functions $\mathbf{F}(\lambda)$, $\mathbf{G}(\lambda)$ determining the resolvent kernel are given by

$$\mathbf{F}(\lambda) = \Psi(\lambda)\mathbf{f}_0(\lambda), \quad (2.13a)$$

$$\mathbf{G}(\lambda) = (\Psi^T(\lambda))^{-1} \mathbf{g}_0(\lambda), \quad (2.13b)$$

where, again, the choice of $\Psi_{\pm}(\lambda)$ on the RHS is immaterial because of the orthogonality conditions (2.2).

2a. Isomonodromic families of operators: deformation of the endpoints.

In this section, we construct an isomonodromic family of operators of the form (1.1a), (1.1b) having the “dressed” wave function $\Psi(\lambda)$ defined in (2.6) as kernel. This follows by examining the analytic properties of $\Psi(\lambda)$ in a neighborhood of the singular points $\{\lambda = \alpha_j\}_{j=1\dots n}$ and asymptotically as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Specifically, define $\{N_j\}_{j=1\dots n}$ by

$$N_j := -\mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{G}_j^T, \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}_j := \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \alpha_j} \mathbf{F}(\lambda) \quad \mathbf{G}_j := (-1)^j \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \alpha_j} \mathbf{G}(\lambda), \quad (2.15)$$

with the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \alpha_j$ taken inside the segment Γ_j . We then have the following result.

THEOREM 2.1: *The wave function $\Psi(\lambda)$ defined by (2.6) satisfies the equations*

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \lambda} - \left(B + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{N_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j} \right) \Psi = 0, \quad (2.16a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \alpha_j} + \frac{N_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j} \Psi = 0, \quad (2.16b)$$

with the N_j 's given by (2.14). This implies the commutativity

$$[\mathcal{D}_\lambda, \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_j}] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_i}, \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_j}] = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n \quad (2.17)$$

of the operators

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda := \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} - B - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{N_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} - \mathcal{N}(\lambda), \quad (2.18a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_j} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_j} + \frac{N_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j}, \quad (2.18b)$$

and hence the invariance of the monodromy data of the operator $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ under changes in the parameters $\{\alpha_j\}$.

Proof: The discontinuity conditions (2.8) may be written

$$\Psi_+(\lambda) - \Psi_-(\lambda) = -2\pi i \Psi_+(\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{f}_j \mathbf{g}_j^T \theta_j(\lambda). \quad (2.19)$$

From analyticity, and the fact that the $\mathbf{f}_j \mathbf{g}_j^T$ satisfy

$$\mathbf{f}_j \mathbf{g}_j^T \mathbf{f}_k \mathbf{g}_k^T = 0, \quad \forall j, k, \quad (2.20)$$

which follows from (2.2), we conclude that, in a neighborhood of Γ_j , $\Psi(\lambda)$ can be written

$$\Psi(\lambda) = \hat{\psi}_j(\lambda) \left(\frac{\lambda - \alpha_{2j}}{\lambda - \alpha_{2j-1}} \right)^{-\mathbf{f}_j \mathbf{g}_j^T}, \quad (2.21)$$

where $\hat{\psi}_j(\lambda)$ is locally holomorphic and invertible. It follows that the logarithmic derivative $\Psi_\lambda \Psi^{-1}$ is meromorphic for finite λ with simple poles at the points $\{\lambda = \alpha_j\}_{j=1 \dots n}$, and the residue matrices are given by

$$N_j := (-1)^{j+1} \hat{\psi}_{[\frac{j+1}{2}]}(\alpha_j) \mathbf{f}_{[\frac{j+1}{2}]} \mathbf{g}_{[\frac{j+1}{2}]}^T \hat{\psi}_{[\frac{j+1}{2}]}^{-1}(\alpha_j). \quad (2.22)$$

The local form (2.21) implies that these N_j 's are given by (2.14), with $\mathbf{F}_j, \mathbf{G}_j$ defined by (2.15). Note that, by eq. (1.26), we also have

$$\mathbf{F}_j^T \mathbf{G}_j = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

From the asymptotic form (1.30) and the equation (2.6), we see that, for large $|\lambda|$, $\Psi_\lambda \Psi^{-1}$ is of the form

$$\Psi_\lambda \Psi^{-1} \sim B + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}), \quad (2.24)$$

and hence, by Liouville's theorem, Ψ satisfies (2.16a).

From the local form (2.21) of Ψ and the fact that Ψ is analytic away from Γ , we see that $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \alpha_j} \Psi^{-1}$ is holomorphic away from α_j and that in a neighborhood of α_j , the sum

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \alpha_j} \Psi^{-1} + \frac{N_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j} \quad (2.25)$$

is analytic. From the asymptotic form (1.30) and (2.6) it also follows that $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \alpha_j} \Psi^{-1}$ vanishes at $\lambda = \infty$ and hence, again by Liouville's theorem, the expression in (2.25) vanishes identically, hence proving that Ψ satisfies (2.16b).

The fact that the invertible matrix Ψ satisfies both (2.16a) and (2.16b) implies the commutativity conditions (2.17) for the operators $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$, $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_j}$ defined in (2.18a) and (2.18b). These deformation equations for the operator $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ imply the invariance of its monodromy data both at the finite regular singular points $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1\dots n}$ and at the irregular singular point $\lambda = \infty$ (cf. [JMMS, JMU]). Q.E.D.

Equivalently, we may consider the equations for the matrices $\mathbf{F}_j$, $\mathbf{G}_j$ implied by taking the limits $\lambda \rightarrow \alpha_k$ in (2.16a), (2.16b).

COROLLARY 2.2: *The matrices $\mathbf{F}_j$, $\mathbf{G}_j$ satisfy the differential system*

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_j}{\partial \alpha_k} = -\frac{N_k}{\alpha_j - \alpha_k} \mathbf{F}_j, \quad j \neq k, \quad (2.26a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_j}{\partial \alpha_j} = \left(B + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{N_k}{\alpha_j - \alpha_k} \right) \mathbf{F}_j, \quad (2.26b)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{G}_j}{\partial \alpha_k} = \frac{N_k^T}{\alpha_j - \alpha_k} \mathbf{G}_j, \quad j \neq k, \quad (2.26c)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{G}_j}{\partial \alpha_j} = - \left(B + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{N_k^T}{\alpha_j - \alpha_k} \right) \mathbf{G}_j. \quad (2.26d)$$

Proof: This follows from the definitions (2.13a), (2.13b), (2.15) and equations (2.16a), (2.16b) of the theorem.

Remark. Let $N = np$ and define the pair of rectangular $N \times r$ matrices F , G from the $p \times r$ blocks $\{\mathbf{F}_j^T, \mathbf{G}_j^T\}$

$$F := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}_1^T \\ \mathbf{F}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{F}_n^T \end{pmatrix} \quad G := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_1^T \\ \mathbf{G}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{G}_n^T \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.27)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{N}(\lambda) = B + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{N_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j} = B + F^T (A - \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} G, \quad (2.28)$$

as in (1.10), where A is the diagonal $N \times N$ matrix with eigenvalues $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1 \dots n}$ all of multiplicity p , and the equations (2.26a)- (2.26d) are just the Hamiltonian equations (1.11a).

2b. Deformations of exponents.

We now turn to the dependence of the wave function $\Psi(\lambda)$ on the parameters $\{\beta_a\}_{a=1, \dots, r}$. By examining the analytic structure of the logarithmic derivatives $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \beta_j}$ near Γ and asymptotically, we can similarly derive differential equations for Ψ with respect to these parameters, and hence deduce the independence of the monodromy of $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ of the parameter values.

THEOREM 2.3: *The wave function $\Psi(\lambda)$ satisfies the equations*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\beta_a} \Psi = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, r, \quad (2.29)$$

where the operators $\{\mathcal{D}_{\beta_a}\}_{a=1, \dots, r}$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\beta_a} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_a} - \lambda E_a - \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^r \frac{E_a \left(\sum_{j=1}^n N_j \right) E_b + E_b \left(\sum_{j=1}^n N_j \right) E_a}{\beta_a - \beta_b}, \quad a = 1, \dots, r, \quad (2.30)$$

with the N_i 's given by (2.14), (2.15) and E_a given by (1.4). This implies the commutativity conditions

$$[\mathcal{D}_\lambda, \mathcal{D}_{\beta_a}] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{D}_{\beta_a}, \mathcal{D}_{\beta_b}] = 0, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, r, \quad (2.31)$$

and hence invariance of the monodromy data of $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ under the deformations parameterized by $\{\beta_a\}_{a=1, \dots, r}$.

Proof: Since the matrix $H_0(\lambda)$ entering the discontinuity conditions (2.8) is independent of the parameters β_a , we see that the logarithmic derivatives of $\Psi_\pm$ with respect to these parameters have no discontinuity across Γ

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_+}{\partial \beta_a} \Psi_+^{-1} = \frac{\partial \Psi_-}{\partial \beta_a} \Psi_-^{-1}. \quad (2.32)$$

From the local structure (2.21), it follows that $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \beta_a} \Psi^{-1}$ is in fact holomorphic in a neighborhood of Γ , and hence throughout the complex λ plane. Furthermore, from the asymptotic form (1.35a) and (2.6), it follows that the difference

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \beta_a} \Psi^{-1} - (\lambda E_a + [\chi_1, E_a]) \quad (2.33)$$

vanishes in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, again by Liouville's theorem this difference, being globally holomorphic, vanishes identically

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \beta_a} - (\lambda E_a + [\chi_1, E_a]) \Psi = 0. \quad (2.34)$$

Since Ψ is invertible, the compatibility of (2.34) and (2.16a) implies

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} - B - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{N_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_a} - \lambda E_a - [\chi_1, E_a] \right] = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, r. \quad (2.35)$$

From the asymptotic form of this equation, it follows that the off diagonal terms of χ_1 are given by

$$(\beta_b - \beta_a) (\chi_1)_{ab} = \sum_{j=1}^n (N_j)_{ab}, \quad (2.36)$$

and hence

$$[\chi_1, E_a]_{bc} = (\delta_{ab} - \delta_{ac}) \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n N_j \right)_{bc}}{\beta_b - \beta_c} \quad (2.37)$$

Equation (2.34) is therefore just the condition that Ψ be in the joint kernel of the operators defined in (2.30) and (2.35) are the commutativity conditions implying that the monodromy of $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ is preserved under the deformations parameterized by $\{\beta_a\}_{a=1, \dots, r}$. Q.E.D.

Remark. The compatibility of eq. (2.34) with (2.16b) also implies the commutativity conditions

$$[\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_i}, \mathcal{D}_{\beta_a}] = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad a = 1, \dots, r \quad (2.38)$$

Finally, we may again equivalently consider the system of equations satisfied by the quantities $\{\mathbf{F}_j, \mathbf{G}_j\}_{j=1 \dots n}$, which follow from (2.16a) and (2.29).

COROLLARY 2.4: *The matrices $\mathbf{F}_j, \mathbf{G}_j$ satisfy the differential system*

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_j}{\partial \beta_a} = (\alpha_j E_a + [\chi_1, E_a]) \mathbf{F}_j, \quad (2.39a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{G}_j}{\partial \beta_a} = (-\alpha_j E_a + [\chi_1^T, E_a]) \mathbf{G}_j, \quad (2.39b)$$

with the term $[\chi_1, E_a]$ given by (2.37), which are exactly the Hamiltonian equations (1.11b) under the identifications (2.27).

2c. The Fredholm determinant.

We now proceed in a standard way to compute the logarithmic differential (1.28) with respect to the parameters $\{\alpha_j, \beta_a\}_{1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq a \leq r}$. The result is summarized by the formula

THEOREM 2.5:

$$d \ln \det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}) = \omega = \sum_{k=1}^n H_k d\alpha_k + \sum_{a=1}^r K_a d\beta_a, \quad (2.40)$$

where the individual factors may be expressed

$$H_k = \frac{\partial \ln \det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K})}{\partial \alpha_k} = \text{tr}(B N_k) + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \frac{\text{tr}(N_j N_k)}{\alpha_- \alpha_j}, \quad (2.41a)$$

$$K_a = \frac{\partial \ln \det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K})}{\partial \beta_a} = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (N_j)_{aa} + \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^r \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^n N_j)_{ab} (\sum_{k=1}^n N_k)_{ba}}{\beta_a - \beta_b}. \quad (2.41b)$$

Proof: To derive (2.41a) we use the equation

$$\ln \det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}) = \text{tr} \ln(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{tr} \mathbf{K}^n}{n}. \quad (2.42)$$

From the formula

$$\text{tr} \mathbf{K}^n = \text{tr} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n=1}^m \int_{\alpha_{2j_1-1}}^{\alpha_{2j_1}} \dots \int_{\alpha_{2j_n-1}}^{\alpha_{2j_n}} K(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) K(\lambda_2, \lambda_3) \dots K(\lambda_n, \lambda_1) d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 \dots d\lambda_n, \quad (2.43)$$

we conclude that

$$\frac{\partial \text{tr} \mathbf{K}^n}{\partial \alpha_k} = (-1)^k n \text{tr} K^n(\alpha_k, \alpha_k), \quad (2.44)$$

where $K^n(\lambda, \mu)$ stands for the kernel of $\mathbf{K}^n$ and “tr” in the r.h.s. is the matrix trace. Equations (2.42) and (2.44) imply (cf. [TW]) that

$$\frac{\partial \ln \det(1 - \mathbf{K})}{\partial \alpha_k} = (-1)^{k+1} \text{tr} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K^n(\alpha_k, \alpha_k) = (-1)^{k+1} \text{tr} R(\alpha_k, \alpha_k), \quad (2.45)$$

where the second equality follows from the Neumann expansion of the resolvent operator $\mathbf{R}$.

From equations (1.27), (2.13b), and (2.16a) it follows that

$$R(\lambda, \lambda) = \mathbf{F}^T(\lambda) \left(B + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{N_j^T}{\lambda - \alpha_j} \right) \mathbf{G}(\lambda). \quad (2.46)$$

Passing in the last equation to the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \alpha_k$ and taking into account (2.15) and (2.23), we conclude that

$$R(\alpha_k, \alpha_k) = (-1)^k \mathbf{F}_k^T \left(B + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \frac{N_j^T}{\alpha_k - \alpha_j} \right) \mathbf{G}_k, \quad (2.47)$$

which, because of (2.14), implies that

$$\mathrm{tr} R(\alpha_k, \alpha_k) = (-1)^{k+1} \left(\mathrm{tr}(BN_k) + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \frac{\mathrm{tr}(N_j N_k)}{\alpha_k - \alpha_j} \right), \quad (2.48)$$

and hence (2.41a).

To prove (2.41b) we use (1.28), which implies

$$K_a = -\mathrm{tr} \left((1 - \mathbf{K})^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial \beta_a} \right). \quad (2.49)$$

From (2.4a) it follows that

$$\frac{\partial K(\lambda, \mu)}{\partial \beta_a} = \mathbf{f}^T(\lambda) E_a \mathbf{g}(\mu). \quad (2.50)$$

Equations (2.49) and (2.50) imply that

$$K_a = -\mathrm{tr} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{F}^T(\mu) E_a \mathbf{g}(\mu) d\mu, \quad (2.51)$$

which in view of (1.36a) means that

$$K_a = -\mathrm{tr}(E_a \chi_1) = -(\chi_1)_{aa}. \quad (2.52)$$

From the asymptotic form of the equation (2.16a), it follows (cf. (2.36)) that

$$[\chi_1, B] = \sum_{j=1}^n N_j, \quad (2.53a)$$

$$\chi_1 = [\chi_2, B] - \sum_{j=1}^n N_j \chi_1 - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j N_j. \quad (2.53b)$$

The last two formulae determine the diagonal elements of χ_1 as

$$(\chi_1)_{aa} = -\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (N_j)_{aa} - \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^r \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^n N_j)_{ab} (\sum_{k=1}^n N_k)_{ba}}{\beta_a - \beta_b}, \quad (2.54)$$

from which follows the expression (2.41b) for K_a .

Q.E.D.

2d. Monodromy Data and the Fredholm Determinant as a τ -Function.

Let

$$\frac{\partial \Psi(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \left(B + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{A_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j} \right) \Psi(\lambda) \quad (2.55)$$

be an arbitrary $r \times r$ linear system having the same singularity structure as the system (2.16a). According to [JMU], the τ -function associated to a given solution

$$A_j = A_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \quad (2.56)$$

of the corresponding isomonodromic deformation equations is defined via the differential form (see formula (5.17) in [JMU]):

$$\begin{aligned} \omega = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq k} \text{tr} A_j A_k \frac{d\alpha_j - d\alpha_k}{\alpha_j - \alpha_k} + \sum_{a=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j)_{aa} d(\alpha_j \beta_a) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \neq b} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n A_j \right)_{ab} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n A_j \right)_{ba} \frac{d\beta_a - d\beta_b}{\beta_a - \beta_b}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.57)$$

More precisely, it is shown in [JMU] (and also follows from the Hamiltonian structure of the deformation equations [H]) that the form (2.57) is closed, allowing one to introduce the τ -function, defined up to multiplication by local constants by the equation:

$$d \ln \tau = \omega. \quad (2.58)$$

The monodromy data corresponding to system (2.55) consist of the set of $n+1$ monodromy matrices,

$$\mathbf{M}_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad \mathbf{M}_\infty, \quad (2.59)$$

$$\mathbf{M}_\infty \mathbf{M}_n \mathbf{M}_{n-1} \dots \mathbf{M}_1 = \mathbf{I}_r, \quad (2.60)$$

associated to the singular points,

$$\alpha_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad \alpha_\infty = \infty, \quad (2.61)$$

augmented by the two Stokes matrices,

$$\mathbf{S}_1, \mathbf{S}_2 \quad (2.62)$$

related to α_∞ , which is the only irregular singular point of (2.55). The Riemann-Hilbert problem (2.8) provides a special solution of the isomonodromy equations,

$$A_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) := N_j, \quad (2.63)$$

where N_j is defined in (2.14). This solution is characterized by the following choice of the monodromy data (cf. (2.21)):

$$\mathbf{M}_{2j} = \mathbf{M}_{2j-1}^{-1} = \mathbf{I}_r - 2\pi i \mathbf{f}_j \mathbf{g}_j^T = \exp(-2\pi i \mathbf{f}_j \mathbf{g}_j^T), \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \quad n = 2m, \quad (2.64a)$$

$$\mathbf{M}_\infty = \mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{S}_2 = \mathbf{I}_r. \quad (2.64b)$$

Comparing now the equations (2.40) and (2.57) (cf. also eqs. (1.6)–(1.8)), we conclude that the τ -function evaluated on the solution (2.63) of the isomonodromy equations is given by the Fredholm determinant,

$$\tau(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1 \dots \beta_r) = \det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}) \quad (2.65)$$

of the integrable Fredholm operator $\mathbf{K}$ characterized by the choice (2.4a), (2.4b) for the functions $\mathbf{f}(\lambda), \mathbf{g}(\lambda)$ in (1.18). It is also worth noticing, that the equation (2.65) follows directly from the equations (1.6)–(1.8).

We now show that this Fredholm determinant is also a τ -function in the sense of Segal–Wilson [SW] and Sato [Sa]. For this, we assume Γ is a simple, closed curve containing the origin of the λ -plane in its interior. (In [SW], Γ is taken as the unit circle, but this is of no significance to the general formulation.) Following [SW], for any positive integer k , let $\mathcal{H}^k = L^2(\Gamma, \mathbf{C}^k)$ be the Hilbert space of square integrable $\mathbf{C}^k$ -valued functions on Γ , and let

$$\mathcal{H}^k = \mathcal{H}_+^k + \mathcal{H}_-^k \quad (2.66)$$

be its decomposition as the direct sum of subspaces $\mathcal{H}_+^k, \mathcal{H}_-^k$ consisting, respectively, of elements admitting a holomorphic extension to the interior (+) or the exterior (−) of Γ , the latter normalized to vanish as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Now, take $k = r$, and let $W \subset \mathcal{H}^r$ be a subspace that is the graph of a compact linear operator

$$h_W : \mathcal{H}_+^r \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_-^r. \quad (2.67)$$

Let

$$\gamma(\mathbf{t}) : \mathcal{H}^r \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^r, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_+^r \quad (2.68)$$

denote the action of an abelian subgroup $\Gamma^r \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{Gl}}(r)_+$ of the loop group $\widetilde{\mathfrak{Gl}}(r)_+$ of nonsingular $r \times r$ matrix valued functions on Γ admitting a holomorphic nonsingular extension to the interior of Γ , acting by left multiplication on $\mathcal{H}^r$. Let $W(\mathbf{t})$ be the image of W under the action of $\gamma(\mathbf{t})$, and choose (in the sense of [SW]) an admissible basis, in which W is represented by the $(2\infty) \times \infty$ matrix

$$W \sim \begin{pmatrix} w_+ \\ w_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.69)$$

where w_+, w_- represent maps

$$w_+ : \mathcal{H}_+^r \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_+^r, \quad w_- : \mathcal{H}_+^r \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_-^r \quad (2.70)$$

the summed images of which give W . In this notation, we have

$$w_- = h_W \circ w_+ \quad (2.71)$$

The action of $\gamma(\mathbf{t})$ can then be expressed in matricial form as

$$W(\mathbf{t}) = \gamma(\mathbf{t})W = \begin{pmatrix} a(\mathbf{t}) & b(\mathbf{t}) \\ 0 & d(\mathbf{t}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_+ \\ w_- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(\mathbf{t})w_+ + b(\mathbf{t})w_- \\ d(\mathbf{t})w_- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.72)$$

where the maps

$$a(\mathbf{t}) : \mathcal{H}_+^r \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_+^r \quad (2.73a)$$

$$b(\mathbf{t}) : \mathcal{H}_-^r \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_+^r \quad (2.73b)$$

$$d(\mathbf{t}) : \mathcal{H}_-^r \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_-^r \quad (2.73c)$$

are defined by restriction of $\gamma(\mathbf{t})$ to $\mathcal{H}_\pm^r$ composed with the orthogonal projection maps

$$P_\pm : \mathcal{H}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\pm \quad (2.74)$$

$$a := P_+ \circ \gamma|_{\mathcal{H}_+^r}, \quad b := P_+ \circ \gamma|_{\mathcal{H}_-^r}, \quad d := P_- \circ \gamma|_{\mathcal{H}_-^r}.$$

A convenient way to express these projection maps is via the Cauchy operators

$$(P_\pm v)(\lambda) = \pm \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\lambda_\pm \rightarrow \lambda} \oint_\Gamma \frac{v(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda_\pm} d\mu =: \pm \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\Gamma \frac{v(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda_\pm} d\mu, \quad (2.75)$$

where the expression on the right is an abbreviated notation for the limit, indicating that after integration $\lambda_\pm$ approaches $\lambda \in \Gamma$ from the corresponding side $\Gamma_\pm$. The Segal–Wilson–Sato τ -function for such a subspace $W \subset \mathcal{H}^r$ is then just given by the determinant

$$\tau_W(\mathbf{t}) := \det \left(\mathbf{I} + b(\mathbf{t}) \circ h_W \circ a(\mathbf{t})^{-1} \right). \quad (2.76)$$

For the specific case at hand, we let Γ be a closed curve passing through the points $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1\dots n}$ and define W to be the image of $\mathcal{H}_+^r$ under the action of the loop group element $H_0^{-1}(\lambda)$ where $H_0(\lambda)$ is defined in (2.9)

$$W = W(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) := H_0^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_+^r) = \{v_+ - 2\pi i h_0 v_+, v_+ \in \mathcal{H}_+^r\}. \quad (2.77)$$

The abelian subgroup $\Gamma_+^r \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{Gl}}(r)$ is taken to be the set of values of the “vacuum” wave function

$$\gamma(\mathbf{t}) := \Psi_0(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) = e^{\lambda B}, \quad \{t_a := \beta_a\}_{a=1, \dots, r}. \quad (2.78)$$

Then, using (2.75), and the orthogonality condition (2.11), we conclude that

$$W = \left\{ v_+ + \int_\Gamma \frac{h_0(\mu) v_+(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda_-} d\mu, v_+ \in \mathcal{H}_+^r \right\}. \quad (2.79)$$

Hence we may express the operator h_W as

$$h_W v_+(\lambda) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{h_0(\mu) v_+(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda_-} d\mu, \quad (2.80)$$

while the maps a , b and d are given by

$$av_+(\lambda) = \Psi_0(\lambda) v_+(\lambda) \quad (2.81a)$$

$$bv_-(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\Psi_0(\mu) v_-(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda_+} d\mu \quad (2.81b)$$

$$dv_-(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\Psi_0(\mu) v_-(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda_-} d\mu \quad (2.81c)$$

$$v_+ \in \mathcal{H}_+^r, \quad v_- \in \mathcal{H}_-^r.$$

We then have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.6: *The following two determinants are equal*

$$\det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}) = \det(\mathbf{I} + b(\mathbf{t}) \circ h_W \circ a(\mathbf{t})^{-1}), \quad (2.82)$$

and hence the τ -function $\tau(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$ evaluated in (2.65) is the same as the Sato–Segal–Wilson τ -function $\tau_W(\mathbf{t})$ defined in (2.76).

Proof: Composing the inverse a^{-1} of the map defined in (2.81a) with h_W and b as defined in (2.80), (2.81b) gives the map $b \circ h_W \circ a^{-1} : \mathcal{H}_+^r \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_+^r$ as

$$b \circ h_W \circ a^{-1}(v_+)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{\Psi_0(\nu)}{\nu - \lambda_+} \frac{h_0(\mu) \Psi_0^{-1}(\mu) v_+(\mu)}{\mu - \nu_-} d\mu d\nu. \quad (2.83)$$

Inverting the order of integration, evaluating the residues at $\nu = \mu$ and $\nu = \lambda_+$ and using (2.10) gives

$$b \circ h_W \circ a^{-1}(v_+)(\lambda) = - \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{\mathbf{f}(\mu) \mathbf{g}^T(\mu) v_+(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda_+} d\mu + \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{\Psi_0(\lambda) \mathbf{f}_0(\mu) \mathbf{g}^T(\mu) v_+(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda_+} d\mu, \quad (2.84)$$

with $\mathbf{f}(\mu)$, $\mathbf{g}(\mu)$ defined by (2.4a), (2.4b). Now note that $\mathbf{K} : \mathcal{H}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^p$ can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{A}_f \circ \mathbf{B}_g, \quad (2.85)$$

where $\mathbf{B}_g : \mathcal{H}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_+^r$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{B}_g \phi(\lambda) = \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{\mathbf{g}(\mu) \phi(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} d\mu, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{H}^p, \quad (2.86)$$

while $\mathbf{A}_f : \mathcal{H}_+^r \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^p$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{A}_f v_+(\lambda) = \mathbf{f}^T(\lambda) v_+(\lambda), \quad v_+ \in \mathcal{H}_+^r. \quad (2.87)$$

On the other hand, from (2.84), we see that $b \circ h_W \circ a^{-1}$ can be expressed as

$$b \circ h_W \circ a^{-1} = \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_f \circ \mathbf{A}_g \quad (2.88)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_f = \mathbf{B}_f - a \circ \mathbf{B}_{f_0} \quad (2.89)$$

with $\mathbf{B}_f$ and $\mathbf{B}_{f_0}$ defined as in (2.86), with $\mathbf{g}$ replaced by $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{f}_0$, respectively (cf. (2.4a), (2.3a)), while $\mathbf{A}_g$ is defined as in (2.87), with $\mathbf{f}$ replaced by $\mathbf{g}$.

The Weinstein–Aronszajn identity implies that

$$\det(\mathbf{I} + \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_f \circ \mathbf{A}_g) = \det(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}_g \circ \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_f) = \det(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}_g \circ \mathbf{B}_f), \quad (2.90)$$

where the second equality follows from that fact that, due to the orthogonality conditions (2.2), we have

$$\mathbf{A}_g \circ a \circ \mathbf{B}_{f_0} = \mathbf{A}_{g_0} \circ \mathbf{B}_{f_0} = 0. \quad (2.91)$$

Therefore

$$\tau_W(\mathbf{t}) = \det(\mathbf{I} + b(\mathbf{t}) \circ h_W \circ a(\mathbf{t})^{-1}) = \det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}^T), \quad (2.92)$$

where

$$\mathbf{K}^T := -\mathbf{A}_g \circ \mathbf{B}_f. \quad (2.93)$$

Comparing (2.85) with (2.93), we see that $\mathbf{K}^T$ is really just the transpose of $\mathbf{K}$, and hence the determinants in (2.82) and (2.92) are the same. Q.E.D.

Remark. An identity related to (2.90); namely, the equation

$$(\mathbf{I} + \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_f \circ \mathbf{A}_g)^{-1} + \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_f (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}_g \circ \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_f)^{-1} \mathbf{A}_g = \mathbf{I},$$

lies, in fact, behind the basic relationship (1.33a), (1.33b) between the Riemann–Hilbert problem and integrable Fredholm kernels (see [DIZ], proof of Lemma 2.12).

3. Duality

3a. The Dual Isomonodromic System.

In the following, choose r to be even, $r = 2s$, and let $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ be a piecewise continuous oriented curve in the complex z -plane consisting of the ordered sequence $\{\widetilde{\Gamma}_a\}_{a=1,\dots,s}$ of connected components whose endpoints are given by the consecutive pairs $\{\beta_{2a-1}, \beta_{2a}\}_{a=1,\dots,s}$ of eigenvalues

of the $qr \times qr$ matrix $B = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{2s})$, where each eigenvalue β_a has multiplicity q , with $q \leq n$. Choose a set $\{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_a, \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_a\}_{a=1, \dots, s}$ of fixed rectangular $n \times q$ matrices satisfying

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_a^T \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_b = 0, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, s \quad (3.1)$$

Let

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(z) = e^{zA} \sum_{a=1}^s \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_a \tilde{\theta}_a(z), \quad (3.2a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(z) = e^{-zA} \sum_{a=1}^s \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_a \tilde{\theta}(z), \quad (3.2b)$$

where $\tilde{\theta}_a$ is now the characteristic function, along $\tilde{\Gamma}$, of the curve segment $\tilde{\Gamma}_a$ and A is the diagonal $n \times n$ matrix

$$A = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n). \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\tilde{\chi}(z)$ be the nonsingular $n \times n$ matrix valued function satisfying the conditions that it be analytic in the compactified complex z -plane in the complement of $\tilde{\Gamma}$, with asymptotic form

$$\tilde{\chi}(z) = \mathbf{I}_n + O(z^{-1}), \quad (3.4)$$

having cut discontinuities across $\tilde{\Gamma}$ given by

$$\tilde{\chi}_-(z) = \tilde{\chi}_+(z) \tilde{H}(z), \quad z \in \tilde{\Gamma}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\tilde{\chi}_+(z)$, $\tilde{\chi}_-(z)$ are the limits as $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is approached from the left and right, respectively, and $\tilde{H}(z)$ is the invertible $n \times n$ matrix function defined along $\tilde{\Gamma}$ by

$$\tilde{H}(z) = \mathbf{I}_n + 2\pi i \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(z) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}^T(z) = \exp 2\pi i \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(z) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}^T(z). \quad (3.6)$$

As above, we define the $q \times q$ matrix Fredholm integral operator $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ acting on $\mathbf{C}^q$ -valued functions $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ on $\tilde{\Gamma}$ by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}})(z) = \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \tilde{K}(z, w) \tilde{\mathbf{v}}(w) dw, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\tilde{K}(z, w) = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}^T(z) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(w)}{z - w}. \quad (3.8)$$

The resolvent operator

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}} = (\mathbf{I} - \tilde{\mathbf{K}})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{K}} \quad (3.9)$$

may again be expressed as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}})(z) = \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \tilde{R}(z, w) \tilde{\mathbf{v}}(w), \quad (3.10)$$

where the kernel

$$\tilde{R}(z, w) = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^T(z) \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(w)}{z - w} \quad (3.11)$$

is determined by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}^T = (\mathbf{I} - \tilde{\mathbf{K}})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}^T = (\mathbf{I} + \tilde{\mathbf{R}}) \tilde{\mathbf{f}}^T = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}^T \tilde{\chi}^T, \quad (3.12a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{G}} = \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{I} - \tilde{\mathbf{K}})^{-1} = \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{I} + \tilde{\mathbf{R}}) = (\tilde{\chi}^T)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}. \quad (3.12b)$$

As above, $\tilde{\chi}(z), \tilde{\chi}^{-1}(z)$ have the asymptotic expansions

$$\tilde{\chi}(z) = \mathbf{I}_n + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\chi}_j}{z^j}, \quad (3.13a)$$

$$\tilde{\chi}^{-1}(z) = \mathbf{I}_n + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\tilde{\chi}}_j}{z^j}, \quad (3.13b)$$

where

$$\tilde{\chi}_j = \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} z^{j-1} \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(z) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}^T(z) dz, \quad (3.14a)$$

$$\hat{\tilde{\chi}}_j = - \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} z^{j-1} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(z) \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^T(z) dz. \quad (3.14b)$$

satisfy

$$\hat{\tilde{\chi}}_j = -\tilde{\chi}_j - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \tilde{\chi}_{j-k} \hat{\tilde{\chi}}_k, \quad j > 1, \quad \hat{\tilde{\chi}}_1 = -\tilde{\chi}_1. \quad (3.15)$$

Again, we may define the $n \times q$ rectangular matrices

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_a := \lim_{z \rightarrow \beta_a} \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(z) \quad \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_a := (-1)^a \lim_{z \rightarrow \beta_a} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(z), \quad a = 1, \dots, r, \quad (3.16)$$

where the limits are taken inside the curve segments $\{\tilde{\Gamma}_a\}_{a=1, \dots, s}$, the $n \times n$ matrices

$$M_a := -\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_a \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_a^T, \quad a = 1, \dots, r. \quad (3.17)$$

and the pair of $n \times rq$ matrices $\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}$ formed from the blocks $\{\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_a, \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_a\}$

$$\tilde{F} := (\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_1 \quad \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_2 \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_r), \quad (3.18a)$$

$$\tilde{G} := (\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_1 \quad \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_2 \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_r). \quad (3.18b)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{M}(z) := A + \sum_{a=1}^r \frac{M_a}{z - \beta_a} = A + \tilde{F}(B - z\mathbf{I})^{-1}\tilde{G}^T \quad (3.19)$$

as in (1.12a), (1.12b).

Now define, similarly to the previous section,

$$\tilde{\Psi}(z) := \tilde{\chi}(z)e^{zA}, \quad (3.20)$$

with limiting values on either side of the segments of $\tilde{\Gamma}$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{\pm}(z) := \tilde{\chi}_{\pm}(z)e^{zA}. \quad (3.21)$$

It then follows from the same arguments as in the preceding section that $\tilde{\Psi}$ simultaneously satisfies

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_z \tilde{\Psi} = 0, \quad (3.22a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta_a} \tilde{\Psi} = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, r, \quad (3.22b)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\alpha_i} \tilde{\Psi} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.22c)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_z := \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \mathcal{M}(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - A - \sum_{a=1}^r \frac{M_a}{z - \beta_a}, \quad (3.23a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta_a} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_a} + \frac{M_a}{z - \beta_a}, \quad (3.23b)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\alpha_i} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} - zE_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{E_i (\sum_{a=1}^r M_a) E_j + E_j (\sum_{a=1}^r M_a) E_i}{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}, \quad (3.23c)$$

with $\{E_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ the elementary $n \times n$ matrices with entry 1 in the (ii) position. Consequently, all these operators commute and the monodromy of the parametric family of operators $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_z$ is invariant under the changes in the deformation parameters $\{\alpha_i, \beta_a\}_{i=1, \dots, n, a=1, \dots, r}$. The corresponding dynamical equations, expressed in terms of the matrices $\{\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_a, \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_a\}_{a=1, \dots, r}$ are

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_a}{\partial \beta_b} = -\frac{M_b}{\beta_a - \beta_b} \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_a, \quad a \neq b, \quad (3.24a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_a}{\partial \beta_a} = \left(A + \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^r \frac{M_b}{\beta_a - \beta_b} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_a, \quad (3.24b)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_a}{\partial \beta_b} = \frac{M_b^T}{\beta_a - \beta_b} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_a, \quad a \neq b, \quad (3.24c)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_a}{\partial \beta_a} = - \left(A + \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^r \frac{M_b^T}{\beta_a - \beta_b} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_a, \quad (3.24d)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_a}{\partial \alpha_i} = (\beta_a E_i + [\tilde{\chi}_1, E_i]) \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_a, \quad (3.24e)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_a}{\partial \alpha_i} = (-\beta_a E_i + [\tilde{\chi}_1^T, E_i]) \mathbf{G}_a, \quad (3.24f)$$

with the term $[\chi_1, E_i]$ given by

$$[\tilde{\chi}_1, E_i]_{jk} = (\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik}) \frac{(\sum_{a=1}^r M_a)_{jk}}{\alpha_j - \alpha_k}. \quad (3.25)$$

These are again just the Hamiltonian equations (1.11a), (1.11b) under the identifications (3.18a), (3.18b), provided the pair $(\tilde{\mathbf{F}}, \tilde{\mathbf{G}})$ is identified with $(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G})$.

Finally, we may compute the logarithmic differential of the Fredholm determinant of $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ to obtain, as in Section 2c,

$$d \ln \det(1 - \tilde{\mathbf{K}}) = \tilde{\omega} = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{H}_j d\alpha_j + \sum_{a=1}^r \tilde{K}_a d\beta_a, \quad (3.26)$$

where

$$\tilde{K}_a = \frac{\partial \ln \det(\mathbf{I} - \tilde{\mathbf{K}})}{\partial \beta_a} = \text{tr}(A M_a) + \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^r \frac{\text{tr} M_a M_b}{\beta_a - \beta_b} \quad (3.27a)$$

$$\tilde{H}_j = \frac{\partial \ln \det(\mathbf{I} - \tilde{\mathbf{K}})}{\partial \alpha_j} = \sum_{a=1}^r \beta_a (M_a)_{jj} + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{(\sum_{a=1}^r M_a)_{jk} (\sum_{b=1}^r M_b)_{kj}}{\alpha_j - \alpha_k}. \quad (3.27b)$$

3b. Duality Theorem.

In order to relate the above isomonodromic deformation system to the one in Section 2 we henceforth make the following restrictions. First of all, we consider only the case $p = q = 1$, so $\mathbf{K}, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ are both scalar Fredholm integral operators. The rectangular matrices $\mathbf{f}(\lambda), \mathbf{g}(\lambda), \mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{g}_i$ all become r -component column vectors while $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(z), \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(z), \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_a, \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_a$ are n -component column vectors. Furthermore, the $\mathbf{f}_i$'s and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_a$'s are chosen to be of the special form

$$\mathbf{f}_j = \mathbf{e}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_a = \tilde{\mathbf{e}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \quad a = 1, \dots, s, \quad (3.28)$$

where $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{C}^r$, $\tilde{\mathbf{e}} \in \mathbf{C}^n$ are the column vectors with all components equal to 1, while the components of the column vectors $\mathbf{g}_j$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_a$ are chosen such that

$$(\mathbf{g}_j)_{2a} = -(\mathbf{g}_j)_{2a-1} = (\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_a)_{2j} = -(\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_a)_{2j-1} =: c_{ja} \quad (3.29)$$

for some constant $m \times s$ matrix with elements $\{c_{ja}\}_{j=1,\dots,m,a=1,\dots,s}$.

We then have the following result, which shows that the isomonodromic deformation system (3.23a)-(3.23c) is, in fact, the dual system to the one defined in Section 1 by eqs. (2.18a), (2.18b), (2.30).

THEOREM 3.1: $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ is the Fourier-Laplace transform of $\mathbf{K}$ along the curves Γ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and

$$F = \tilde{F}, \quad G = \tilde{G}, \quad (3.30a)$$

$$\omega = \tilde{\omega} \quad (3.30b)$$

Proof: On the product $\Gamma \times \tilde{\Gamma}$, define the following locally constant function

$$\hat{K}(\lambda, z) := \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{a=1}^s c_{ja} \theta_j(\lambda) \tilde{\theta}_a(z). \quad (3.31)$$

Taking the Fourier-Laplace transform with respect to the variables z and λ along the curves $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and Γ , respectively, gives the two Fredholm kernels

$$K(\lambda, \mu) = \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \hat{K}(\mu, z) e^{z(\lambda-\mu)} dz = \frac{\mathbf{f}^T(\lambda) \mathbf{g}(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu}, \quad (3.32a)$$

$$\tilde{K}(w, z) = \int_{\Gamma} \hat{K}(\mu, z) e^{\mu(w-z)} d\mu = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}^T(w) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(z)}{w - z}, \quad (3.32b)$$

where $\mathbf{f}$, $\mathbf{g}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ are defined by (2.4a), (2.4b) (3.2a), (3.2b) with $\mathbf{f}_j$, $\mathbf{g}_j$, $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_a$, $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_a$ given by (3.28), (3.29).

To prove (3.30a), we use the Neumann expansion of the resolvent $\mathbf{R}$ in (1.24a) to get the following convergent series for the components of F

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_a(\alpha_j) &= \mathbf{f}_a(\alpha_j) + \int_{\Gamma} K(\alpha_j, \lambda_1) \mathbf{f}_a(\lambda_1) d\lambda_1 + \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} K(\alpha_j, \lambda_2) K(\lambda_2, \lambda_1) \mathbf{f}_a(\lambda_1) d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 + \dots \\ &= e^{\alpha_j \beta_a} + \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \hat{K}(\lambda_1, z_1) e^{z_1(\alpha_j - \lambda_1)} e^{\lambda_1 \beta_a} dz_1 d\lambda_1 \\ &\quad + \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \hat{K}(\lambda_2, z_2) \hat{K}(\lambda_1, z_1) e^{z_2(\alpha_j - \lambda_2)} e^{z_1(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} e^{\beta_a \lambda_1} dz_1 dz_2 d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 + \dots \\ &= e^{\alpha_j \beta_a} + \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \int_{\Gamma} \hat{K}(\lambda_1, z_1) e^{\lambda_1(\beta_a - z_1)} e^{z_1 \alpha_j} d\lambda_1 dz_1 \\ &\quad + \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} \hat{K}(\lambda_2, z_2) \hat{K}(\lambda_1, z_1) e^{\lambda_2(z_1 - z_2)} e^{\lambda_1(\beta_a - z_1)} e^{\alpha_j z_2} d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2 dz_1 dz_2 + \dots \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j(\beta_a) + \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \tilde{K}(\beta_a, z_1) \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j(z_1) dz_1 + \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \tilde{K}(\beta_a, z_1) \tilde{K}(z_1, z_2) \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j(z_2) dz_1 dz_2 + \dots \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_j(\beta_a), \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

where the Neumann expansion of $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$ in (3.12a) is used in the last line. Similarly, using the Neumann expansions of $\mathbf{R}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$ in (1.24b), (3.12b) and taking into account that

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^m c_{k[\frac{a+1}{2}]} \theta_k(\lambda) \right) \hat{K}(\alpha_j, z) = \hat{K}(\lambda, \beta_a) \left(\sum_{b=1}^s c_{[\frac{j+1}{2}]b} \theta_b(z) \right) \quad (3.34)$$

$$a = 1, \dots, r, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

gives the equality

$$\mathbf{G}_a(\alpha_j) = \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_j(\beta_a). \quad (3.35)$$

The equality (3.30b) follows directly from the fact that $\mathbf{K}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ are related by the Fourier-Laplace transforms (3.32a), (3.32b). It may also be seen from the equalities (3.30a) in view of (2.28), (3.19) and the general duality relations mentioned in the introduction and proved in [H]. Q.E.D.

4. Reductions and Generalizations

4a. Symplectic reduction.

In applications, the structure of the Fredholm integral operator $\mathbf{K}$ and the associated Riemann–Hilbert problem data may be such that the generic systems appearing in sections 2a, 2b are reduced to systems having fewer independent variables. An important example of this involves reduction from the group $\mathfrak{Gl}(2s)$ to the symplectic subgroup $\mathfrak{Sp}(2s)$. Let J be the symplectic $2s \times 2s$ matrix having block form

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_s \\ -\mathbf{I}_s & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.1)$$

and suppose that the matrix B of section 2 satisfies the relations

$$B^T J + J B = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

implying it is in the symplectic subalgebra $\mathfrak{sp}(2s) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(2s)$. Since B is diagonal, with eigenvalues $\{\beta_a\}_{a=1, \dots, 2s}$, this just means that

$$\beta_{a+s} = -\beta_a, \quad a = 1, \dots, s, \quad (4.3)$$

so B has the form

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -B_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.4)$$

where $B_1 = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$. This implies that the vacuum wave function $\Psi_0(\lambda)$ satisfies

$$\Psi_0^T(\lambda) J \Psi_0(\lambda) = J, \quad (4.5)$$

and hence takes values in $\mathfrak{Sp}(2s)$. We also require that the $r \times p$ matrices $\{\mathbf{f}_j, \mathbf{g}_j\}$ entering in (2.4a), (2.4b) satisfy the relations

$$\mathbf{g}_j \mathbf{f}_j^T J + J \mathbf{f}_j \mathbf{g}_j^T = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

implying that the group element $H_0(\lambda)$ defined in (2.9), (2.10) satisfies

$$H_0^T(\lambda) J H_0(\lambda) = J, \quad (4.7)$$

and hence also is in $\mathfrak{Sp}(2s)$. It then follows that the dressed wave function $\Psi(\lambda)$ obtained by solution of the associated Riemann–Hilbert problem also takes values in $\mathfrak{Sp}(2s)$, and the residue matrices N_j entering in the definition of the operator $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ have values in $\mathfrak{sp}(2s)$.

More explicitly, assuming the $(\mathbf{f}_j, \mathbf{g}_j)$'s all have rank p , eq. (4.6) implies that there exist symmetric, invertible matrices $s_j \in \mathfrak{Gl}(p)$ such that

$$\mathbf{f}_j = J \mathbf{g}_j s_j, \quad s_j^T = s_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, s. \quad (4.8)$$

The orthogonality conditions (2.2) then reduce to the symplectic isotropy conditions

$$\mathbf{g}_j^T J \mathbf{g}_k = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, s. \quad (4.9)$$

Since the s_j 's are invertible and symmetric, we may take their square roots $s_j^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (determined up to a $\mathbf{Z}_2^s$ ambiguity) and, without changing the content of the Riemann–Hilbert problem, redefine the $\mathbf{f}_j$'s and $\mathbf{g}_j$'s by absorbing these square roots through the substitutions

$$\mathbf{f}_j \longrightarrow \mathbf{f}_j s_j^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \mathbf{g}_j \longrightarrow \mathbf{g}_j s_j^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.10)$$

This just amounts to setting the s_j 's equal to the identity element, reducing (4.8) simply to

$$\mathbf{f}_j = J \mathbf{g}_j. \quad (4.11)$$

Since the solution of the Riemann–Hilbert problem preserves the symplectic reduction, it follows from eqs. (2.13a), (2.13b) and (2.15) that the matrices $(\mathbf{F}_j, \mathbf{G}_j)$ satisfy the relations

$$\mathbf{F}_j = (-1)^j J \mathbf{G}_j. \quad (4.12)$$

This means that there exist pairs of rectangular $p \times s$ matrices $(\mathbf{Q}_j, \mathbf{P}_j)$ such that the $\mathbf{F}_j$'s and $\mathbf{G}_j$'s may be expressed

$$\mathbf{F}_j^T = e^{\frac{i\pi j}{2}} (\mathbf{P}_j, -\mathbf{Q}_j), \quad \mathbf{G}_j^T = (-1)^j e^{\frac{i\pi j}{2}} (\mathbf{Q}_j, \mathbf{P}_j), \quad j = 1 \dots n. \quad (4.13)$$

The residue matrices N_j therefore have the reduced block form

$$N_j = - \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_j^T \mathbf{Q}_j & \mathbf{P}_j^T \mathbf{P}_j \\ -\mathbf{Q}_j^T \mathbf{Q}_j & -\mathbf{Q}_j^T \mathbf{P}_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.14)$$

showing explicitly that they all belong to $\mathfrak{sp}(2s)$.

The reduced form of eqs. (2.26a)–(2.26d) then becomes

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}_j}{\partial \alpha_k} = \frac{(\mathbf{P}_j \mathbf{Q}_k^T - \mathbf{Q}_j \mathbf{P}_k^T) \mathbf{Q}_k}{\alpha_j - \alpha_k}, \quad j \neq k, \quad (4.15a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_j}{\partial \alpha_k} = \frac{(\mathbf{P}_j \mathbf{Q}_k^T - \mathbf{Q}_j \mathbf{P}_k^T) \mathbf{P}_k}{\alpha_j - \alpha_k}, \quad j \neq k, \quad (4.15b)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}_j}{\partial \alpha_j} = -\mathbf{Q}_j B_1 - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{(\mathbf{P}_j \mathbf{Q}_k^T - \mathbf{Q}_j \mathbf{P}_k^T) \mathbf{Q}_k}{\alpha_j - \alpha_k}, \quad (4.15c)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_j}{\partial \alpha_k} = \mathbf{P}_j B_1 - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{(\mathbf{P}_j \mathbf{Q}_k^T - \mathbf{Q}_j \mathbf{P}_k^T) \mathbf{P}_k}{\alpha_j - \alpha_k}, \quad (4.15d)$$

In particular, for $p = s = 1$, $r = 2$, these are just the equations of [JMMS], Theorem 7.5, determining the n -particle correlation functions for an impenetrable bosonic gas (or the spectral distribution function for random unitary matrices in the double scaling limit [TW1]).

Similarly, the reduced form of (2.39a) and (2.39b) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}_j}{\partial \beta_a} = & -\alpha_j \mathbf{Q}_j E_a + \mathbf{Q}_j \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^s \frac{E_a N_{PQ} E_b + E_b N_{PQ} E_a}{\beta_a - \beta_b} \\ & - \mathbf{P}_j \sum_{b=1}^s \frac{E_a N_{QQ} E_b + E_b N_{QQ} E_a}{\beta_a + \beta_b} \end{aligned} \quad (4.16a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_j}{\partial \beta_a} = & \alpha_j \mathbf{P}_j E_a - \mathbf{P}_j \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^s \frac{E_a N_{QP} E_b + E_b N_{QP} E_a}{\beta_a - \beta_b} \\ & + \mathbf{Q}_j \sum_{b=1}^s \frac{E_a N_{PP} E_b + E_b N_{PP} E_a}{\beta_a + \beta_b} \end{aligned} \quad (4.16b)$$

$$a = 1, \dots, s, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where

$$N_{QQ} := \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{Q}_j^T \mathbf{Q}_j, \quad N_{PP} := \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{P}_j^T \mathbf{P}_j, \quad N_{QP} := \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{Q}_j^T \mathbf{P}_j, \quad N_{PQ} := \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{P}_j^T \mathbf{Q}_j, \quad (4.17)$$

and E_a is the elementary $s \times s$ matrix with 1 in the aa position.

Other discrete reductions of the generic Riemann–Hilbert data and the corresponding isomonodromic deformation families may similarly be derived along the lines indicated in [H].

4b. Higher order poles.

Using arguments similar to the Zakharov-Shabat dressing method (see e.g. [NZMP]), it is possible to generalize the above considerations to a broader class of isomonodromic deformation equations associated to matrix Riemann–Hilbert problems of a similar nature, by allowing higher order poles at one or more of the singular points. For simplicity, we restrict attention to the case where there is just one irregular singular point of arbitrary index located at ∞ . Extension to the case of any number of irregular singular points is quite straightforward.

Let $\Psi_0(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) \in \mathfrak{gl}(r)$ be an isomonodromic “vacuum” solution, satisfying

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_0}{\partial \lambda} = U_0(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) \Psi_0, \quad (4.18a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_0}{\partial t_a} = V_a^0(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) \Psi_0, \quad a = 1 \dots k \quad (4.18b)$$

where $\{U_0(\lambda, \mathbf{t}), V_i^0(\lambda, \mathbf{t})\}_{i=1 \dots k}$ are $r \times r$ matrix valued polynomials in λ whose coefficients are functions of the k -component vector $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$. The compatibility conditions for (4.18a), (4.18b) imply that the generalized monodromy data for the “vacuum” operator

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda^0 := \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} - U_0(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) \quad (4.19)$$

are invariant under the deformations induced by changes of the parameters $(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ satisfying these equations. For example, we could consider the simplest case, when the matrices $V_a^0(\lambda)$ are independent of the parameters $(t_1, \dots, t_k)$, and commute amongst themselves

$$[V_a^0(\lambda), V_b^0(\lambda)] = 0, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, k. \quad (4.20)$$

The vacuum wave function then has trivial monodromy and can be normalized as

$$\Psi_0(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) = \exp \left(\sum_{a=1}^k t_a V_a^0(\lambda) \right), \quad (4.21)$$

so that its values form an abelian group. More general cases, in which the vacuum monodromy is not necessarily trivial may also be included (cf. [TW2-3]).

As in section 2, choose a set $\{\mathbf{f}_j, \mathbf{g}_j\}_{j=1 \dots m}$ of fixed $r \times p$ rectangular matrices satisfying (2.2), and let $\theta_j(\lambda)$ denote the characteristic function along the curve segment Γ_j . Define

$$\mathbf{f}(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) := \Psi_0(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{f}_j \theta_j(\lambda), \quad (4.22a)$$

$$\mathbf{g}(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) := (\Psi_0^T(\lambda, \mathbf{t}))^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{g}_j \theta_j(\lambda), \quad (4.22b)$$

as in (2.4a), (2.4b), but with the exponential vacuum wave function $\Psi_0(\lambda)$ replaced by the vacuum solution $\Psi_0(\lambda, \mathbf{t})$, evaluated along the curve segments Γ_j (where, in the event that there is nontrivial vacuum monodromy, a specific branch is taken). Now define $H_0(\lambda)$ again as in (2.9) and $H(\lambda, \mathbf{t})$ as in (1.29) and (2.12), but with the functions $\mathbf{f}(\lambda)$, $\mathbf{g}(\lambda)$ of eqs. (2.4a), (2.4b) replaced by $\mathbf{f}(\lambda, \mathbf{t})$, $\mathbf{g}(\lambda, \mathbf{t})$ and $\Psi_0(\lambda)$ replaced by $\Psi_0(\lambda, \mathbf{t})$. Let $\chi(\lambda)$ again be a solution to the matrix Riemann–Hilbert problem as defined in (1.30), (1.31), with the appropriate substitution of $H(\lambda, \mathbf{t})$ for $H(\lambda)$. Then, just as in sections 2a, 2b, by considering the local structure near the singularities and the asymptotic behaviour at ∞ , it is easily shown that

$$\Psi(\lambda) := \chi(\lambda)\Psi_0(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) \quad (4.23)$$

satisfies the equations

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \lambda} - U(\lambda, \mathbf{t})\Psi - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{N_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j} \Psi = 0, \quad (4.24a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t_a} - V_a(\lambda, \mathbf{t})\Psi = 0, \quad a = 1 \dots k, \quad (4.24b)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \alpha_j} + \frac{N_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j} \Psi = 0, \quad j = 1 \dots n, \quad (4.24c)$$

where, as before, N_j , $\mathbf{F}_j$, $\mathbf{G}_j$ are again given by (2.14), (2.15), while

$$U(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) = (\chi(\lambda)U_0(\lambda, \mathbf{t})\chi^{-1}(\lambda))_+, \quad (4.25a)$$

$$V_a(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) = (\chi(\lambda)V_a^0(\lambda, \mathbf{t})\chi^{-1}(\lambda))_+, \quad a = 1, \dots, k, \quad (4.25b)$$

where $(\)_+$ denotes projection to the polynomial part in λ (cf. [NZMP]). It follows that the operators

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda := \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} - U(\lambda, \mathbf{t}) - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{N_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j}, \quad (4.26a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{t_a} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t_a} - V_a(\lambda, \mathbf{t}), \quad a = 1 \dots k, \quad (4.26b)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_j} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_j} + \frac{N_j}{\lambda - \alpha_j}, \quad j = 1 \dots n \quad (4.26c)$$

all commute, and the generalized monodromy data for $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ is invariant under the deformations induced by changes in the parameters $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1 \dots n}$, $\{t_a\}_{a=1 \dots k}$.

The τ function associated with these deformations may once again be shown to be the Fredholm determinant $\det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K})$ of the integral operator $\mathbf{K}$ defined as in (1.17), (1.18), with

the appropriate substitutions for $\mathbf{f}(\lambda)$, $\mathbf{g}(\lambda)$. The coefficients $\{H_j\}_{j=1\dots n}$ $\{K_a\}_{a=1\dots k}$ of the differential form

$$d \ln \det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}) = \sum_{i=1}^n H_i d\alpha_i + \sum_{a=1}^k K_a dt_a \quad (4.27)$$

may again be viewed as commuting nonautonomous Hamiltonians whose Hamiltonian equations determine the deformation conditions corresponding to the commutativity of the operators $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$, $\mathcal{D}_{t_a}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_j}$. For the specific case (4.21), where the vacuum wave functions form an abelian group, the same interpretation may be given to the corresponding τ -function as in Theorem 2.6. More generally, if we drop the requirement that (2.72) define an abelian group action on the Hilbert space Grassmannian $\mathcal{H}^r$, and simply view the maps determined by (2.72) (2.81a), (2.81b), (2.81c) as defining a set of integral curves for a compatible nonautonomous system generated by the solution $\Psi_0(\lambda, \mathbf{t})$ of the associated vacuum system, the same geometrical interpretation in terms of determinants of projection operators is still valid. The resulting differential operators $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_z$ may also be expressed in terms of a Hamiltonian quotienting procedure through formulae similar to (1.10), (1.12b), and we may thereby again associate a dual system and corresponding dual integral operator $\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}$, as was done in section 3. Details of this, as well as generalizations involving multiple higher order poles will be given elsewhere.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Fonds FCAR du Québec and the National Science Foundation, grant No. DMS-9501559.

REFERENCES

- [DIZ] Deift, P. A., Its, A. R., and Zhou, X., “A Riemann-Hilbert Approach to Asymptotic Problems Arising in the Theory of Random Matrix Models, And Also in the Theory of Integrable Statistical Mechanics” *Ann. of Math.* (1997) (in press).
- [H] Harnad, J., “Dual Isomonodromic Deformations and Moment Maps to Loop Algebras” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **166**, 337–365 (1994).
- [HTW] Harnad, J., Tracy, C. A., and Widom, H., “Hamiltonian Structure of Equations Appearing in Random Matrices” , in: *Low Dimensional Topology and Quantum Field Theory*, ed. H. Osborn, (Plenum, New York 1993), pp. 231–245.
- [IIKS] Its, A. R., Izergin, A. G., Korepin, V. E., and Slavnov, N. A., “Differential Equations for Quantum Correlation Functions,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **B4**, 1003–1037 (1990).
- [IIKV] Its, A. R., Izergin, A. G., Korepin, V. E., and Varzugin, G. G., “Large Time and Distance Asymptotics of Field Correlation Function of Impenetrable Bosons at Finite Temperature,” *Physica* **54D**, 351–395 (1992).

- [JMMS] Jimbo, M., Miwa, T., Mōri, Y., and Sato, M., “Density Matrix of an Impenetrable Bose Gas and the Fifth Painlevé Transcendent”, *Physica* **1D**, 80–158 (1980).
- [JMU] Jimbo, M., Miwa, T., and Ueno, K., “Monodromy Preserving Deformation of Linear Ordinary Differential Equations with Rational Coefficients I,” *Physica* **2D**, 306–352 (1981).
- [KBI] Korepin, V. E., Bogolyubov, N. M., and Izergin, A. G., *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge (1993).
- [M] Mehta, M. L., *Random Matrices*, 2nd edition (Academic, San Diego, 1991).
- [NZMP] Novikov, S. P., Zakharov, V. E., Manakov, S. V., Pitaevski, L. V., “Soliton Theory: The Inverse Scattering Method” *Plenum, New York* (1984).
- [P] Palmer, John, “Deformation Analysis of matrix models”, *Physica D* **78**, 166–185 (1995).
- [Sa] Sato, M., “Soliton equations as dynamical system on infinite dimensional Grassmann manifolds”, *RIMS Kokyuroku* **439**, 30 (1981).
- [SW] Segal, G. and Wilson, G., “Loop Groups and Equations of KdV Type”, *Publications Math., I.H.E.S.* **61**, 5–65 (1985).
- [TW1] Tracy, C. A., and Widom, H., “Introduction to Random Matrices,” in: *Geometric and Quantum Methods in Integrable Systems*. Springer Lecture Notes in Physics **424**, pp. 103–130 (ed. G. F. Helminck, Springer–Verlag, N.Y., Heidelberg, 1993).
- [TW2] Tracy, C. A., and Widom, H., “Fredholm determinants, differential equations and matrix models” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **163**, 33–72 (1994).
- [TW3] Tracy, C. A., and Widom, H., “Systems of partial differential equations for operator determinants” *Oper. Th.: Adv. Appl.* **78**, 381–388 (1995).
- [W] Wilson, G., “Habillage et Fonction τ ”, *C.R. Acad. Sc., Paris*, 299, 587–590 (1984).
- [WMTB] Wu, T. T., McCoy, B. M., Tracy, C. A., and Barouch, E., “Spin-Spin Correlation Functions for the Two-Dimensional Ising Model: Exact Theory in the Scaling Region,” *Phys. Rev.* **B13**, 316–374 (1976).